1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF '	THE STATE OF OREGON
2	FOR THE COUNTY O	F WASHINGTON
3		
4	STATE OF OREGON,)
5	Plaintiff,) Washington County
6	v.) Circuit Court) No. 16CR46339
7	BENJAMIN JAY BARBER,) CA A163786
8	Defendant.) Volume 1 of 5
9		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEE	DINGS ON APPEAL
11	BE IT REMEMBERE	ID that the above-entitled
12	Court and cause came on regu	alarly for hearing before
13	the Honorable Suzanne M. Upt	con, on Tuesday, the 9th
14	day of August, 2016, at the	Washington County
15	Courthouse, Courtroom No. LE	CC, Hillsboro, Oregon.
16	APPEARAN	CES
17	Marie Atwood, Deputy Appearing on behalf	
18	Cameron Taylor, Atto	
19	——————————————————————————————————————	of Defendant Barber.
20	ALSO PRE	SENT
21	Melanie Kebler, Atto	orney at Law.
22	KATIE BRADFORD,	CSR 90-0148
23	Court Rep (503) 267	orter
24	Proceedings recorded by digita	
25	transcript provided by Certifi	_

	Case 3:19-cv-01631-YY	Document 22-5	Filed 12/05/19	Page 2 of 20
--	-----------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

Index 2

1	GENERAL INDEX	
2	VOLUME 1	
3		Page No.
4	August 9, 2016 Proceedings	3
5	Case Called; Parties Introduced	3
6	Release Hearing	3
7	Defendant's Position	4
8	State's Position	10
9	Victim's Attorney's Position	13
10	Defendant's Response	15
11	Court's Ruling	17
12	Reporter's Certificate	20
13	* * *	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

3

1 (Volume 1, Tuesday, August 9th, 2016, 1:44 p.m.) 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court:) 4 5 THE CLERK: We're on the record. 6 THE COURT: Go ahead. 7 MS. ATWOOD: Okay. So this is State v. Benjamin Jay Barber, 16CR46339. We're here for a 8 9 hearing on defendant's motion for release. 10 The State filed a response this morning 11 that I also believe the release office forwarded to 12 Your Honor. If you need another physical copy, I 13 have one here. 14 THE COURT: No, I've got it. And so then, Mr. Taylor, did you get a 15 copy of the release report and the statement from the 16 17 victim and the State's response? MR. TAYLOR: I did, Judge. 18 19 THE CLERK: It's in here, Judge. don't think -- Mr. Barber is not (indiscernible). 20 21 There we go. Sorry. 22 (Defendant Barber enters the courtroom.) 23 THE COURT: Okay. So we're calling the State of Oregon versus Benjamin Jay Barber. 24 Hi, there. Come on up, Benjamin Barber. 25

1	DEFENDANT BARBER: Yes, ma'am.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And the case number
3	is 16CR46339. This gentleman is in custody. He's
4	with counsel, Cam Taylor, who's just told me that
5	he's received all these documents.
6	And, Ms. Atwood, did you receive the
7	release officer's report?
8	MS. ATWOOD: I did, Judge.
9	THE COURT: Okay. So it's it's your
10	motion and I'd be glad to hear from you further if
11	you wish, Mr. Taylor.
12	MR. TAYLOR: Yes, Judge. So I provided
13	the Court with a motion and an affidavit which
14	outlines sort of a basic plan.
15	I'd like to talk about a few things,
16	primarily starting with what has changed since my
17	client was released on his own recognizance because,
18	as Your Honor is aware, Mr. Barber was originally
19	arrested, booked and released on his own
20	recognizance.
21	THE COURT: Right.
22	MR. TAYLOR: He was given an arraignment
23	date. The situation appears to be that when he was
24	in custody for a day or so, he was told that his
25	arraignment would be at 8:15 or at 3:00 in the

1 afternoon on the standard out-of-custody arraignment 2 docket. 3 He then was released, his arraignment 4 got moved to the out-of-custody morning docket. He 5 misunderstood that and he appeared on the correct day of his arraignment, but at 3:00 p.m. 6 7 So I think that's an important fact to know in this case, Judge, because, while, yes, he got 8 9 the time wrong, it shows that he was clearly not 10 intending to run off or avoid court or anything like 11 that. For that matter, his bike is apparently still 12 locked up out in front of the jail. He would very 13 much like to retrieve it. 14 So that is the main thing that has 15 factually changed in this case since he was recogged. What I want to talk about is our release plan, Judge. 16 17 What we are asking the Court to do is release 18 Mr. Barber back to his own apartment. 19 He resides at an apartment here in 20 Hillsboro. The address is 176 Northeast Jackson 21 Street. It's a little two-story apartment complex 22 not far from here. The other part of our release plan is 23 24 that this morning I -- I spoke to his mother whose

name is Jane Cortez. She lives in Oregon City and I

kind of filled her in on what's going on and I

provided all of her information to the Court. She

has agreed that if Mr. Barber is released, she will

keep in contact with him.

She will help make sure that he appears

She will help make sure that he appears for all his court dates and make sure that there aren't any problems on release. For what it's worth, she also says she's going to drag him to church every Sunday.

So she is going to be involved in his life and make sure that they're aren't any more Failures to Appears, but I don't believe that there will be.

I have spent already a great deal of time talking to Mr. Barber about his case. He is very anxious to be involved with this case. He's very committed to this case. I expect he will be in excellent contact with me if he's released. And he does intend to appear for all dates and to litigate this case.

You know, Judge, I want to address some of the things the district attorney mentioned in her motion and sort of talk about the release criteria. First off, Judge, the issue of protection of the victim. You're aware of what this charge is and

basically what it amounts to.

It is a distribution of images on the internet type of charge, so it's not a physically violent charge of any kind. There are no allegations of any sort of, you know, physical presence or physial threats or anything of that nature. So the physical safety of the victim, I don't believe, is an issue.

I also don't believe there's any indication that anyone else is in any sort of danger or anything like that in this case. Again, these are misdemeanor charges. Yes, there are nine counts. But as it stands, they are nonviolent misdemeanor charges and I think that's important to consider under release criteria.

His criminal history, also important as noted in the release report, he has an old Furnishing charge that was reduced to a violation, a Harassment that was no complainted and a Criminal Trespass that is currently in community court in Multnomah County.

I guess the main thing I'll focus on is the Harassment. It's a Harassment you will be told does involve the same complainant. And, Judge, the issue is that my client and the alleged victim in this case were previously married.

1 They apparently had a very messy divorce 2 in 2013, one of those cases where there were 3 definitely restraining orders flying in both directions as well as both parties being arrested for 4 5 Harassment once. No charges came out of either of 6 those. 7 That issue has obviously resolved. no longer reside together. They certainly have no 8 9 desire to be together or get back together or 10 anything like that. As far as some of the other things the DA mentions, the DA talks about employment 11 12 and homelessness as being issues for why we should 13 not release my client. 14 What I can tell the Court is this: My 15 client is currently employed at Intel. He is a cloud engineer. I spoke to his employer yesterday. He is 16 17 still currently employed as of today. If he gets released and goes to work tomorrow, he has a good 18 19 chance of continuing to be employed. 20 So if avoiding unemployment is an issue, 21 then the best thing to do would be to release him so that he can salvage his job. And, again, as far as 22 homelessness, same argument. If he is released he 23 can go back to work, he can continue to make money. 24

He can keep his house. He will not become homeless

1 again.

Family relationships, I discussed that as well as current residence and third-party issue with his mom. The other thing I guess want to mention at this point, there's been talk between the release officer and the DA about, if he's released, a -- an issue on internet use, since these are crimes that arise from internet use.

The basic argument we would put forward there is that, as far as the condition goes, we would ask that he be allowed -- and that's what the DA is asking for in their motion -- no internet use except for work purposes that I mentioned. He is a software engineer.

So we would be asking that he be allowed to use the internet and internet devices at work solely for employment. What I can tell the Court there is that Intel obviously monitors their internet usage. So if he's using a computer at work, it is only going to be for work purposes.

We have no objection or any problems with prohibitions on social media or personal internet use. I think the other thing to consider there is that if he did anything in the future, he would be exposing himself to additional criminal

liability. So that's a consideration in that regard.

We also do not object to the -- the

1

4

5

7

9

13

14

15

25

residence.

district attorney's request for no contact with the

complainant's current boyfriend. We don't object to

that at all. So we'd be fine with those types of

6 release conditions. So that's what I'll start out

with, Judge, and throw it to the DA.

8 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Taylor.

Ms. Atwood, go ahead.

10 MS. ATWOOD: Thank you, Judge. So I've

11 had a chance to review the Release Office's report.

12 And I've also had a chance to speak with defense

counsel today. And there's a couple of things that

have come to light since I filed my memorandum in

response to the defense motion.

It does appear that although, there have 16 17 been, I quess, a few different addresses that the 18 defendant has stated that he lives at in the records that we were able to find, the one that we had most 19 20 recently gotten from him on his initial release 21 agreement -- the reason for the State's concern 22 primarily was that the address as it was listed on that document was not -- when you looked for it on 23 24 the internet, was not coming up to a physical

1 So that was part of -- of my concern, 2 was that the defendant wasn't being, I guess, direct 3 enough about where it was he was residing. Secondly, the -- I guess the most 4 5 important things at this point for the State are the victim's protection, primarily and just the nature of 6 7 the charges in this case and the ability of the State to pursue additional investigation and preserve as 8 much evidence as possible. 9 10 I mean, this is an unusual type of case 11 in that the charges are -- involve the use of the 12 internet and computers and social media and 13 electronic evidence that the defendant, if he were to 14 remain out of custody, could delete. 15 He could go online to his various accounts that he was using to post these photographs 16 17 and videos and try and hide evidence. The defendant could, on the other hand, continue disseminating 18 information about the victim and images and videos of 19 the victim unlawfully. 20 21 So that is a huge primary concern of the 22 State in this case 'cause we do still have ongoing investigation to try and get our hands around what 23 the full scope of defendant's actions were. 24 Secondly, regarding the protection of 25

1 the victim, the Court has the letter, the -- the --2 the written statement that the victim prepared in 3 anticipation of today's hearing where she details the 4 fact that not only has he continued to purchase 5 domain names online using her name, he's e-mailed to 6 her at work these images of herself, you know, 7 creating a serious risk for her livelihood and her well being during the course of the investigation in 8 this case. 9 10 So it's not that as soon as the 11 defendant was aware that he was being investigated or 12 searched for police that he stopped these actions. 13 He continued to contact the victim and continued to 14 disseminate things about her online. And, additionally, the reason that the 15 State would have asked for the no-contact condition 16 17 with Micah Goldstein (phonetic), the victim's 18 boyfriend, is because he's also become a target of the defendant's communications and actions in this 19 20 case. 21 So I think the victim protection and the 22 nature of the case being a primary -- part of the primary release criteria should, I guess, be at the 23 forefront of the Court's mind when making a release 24

decision today more so than whether or not the

1 defendant is currently employed. 2 It's a good thing that the defendant's 3 currently employed, but the fact that his employment 4 is so intertwined with internet usage and computer 5 usage, I think, creates an unnecessary risk that the victim shouldn't have to be dealing with at this 6 7 point. So unless you have any other questions 8 9 for me, the victim's attorney is here today and would 10 like to be heard on the record regarding the motion. 11 The victim is not able to be here today herself. 12 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 13 Counsel, I'd be glad to hear from you. 14 MS. KEBLER: Absolutely. Melanie Kebler, Your Honor, for the victim, 083798. 15 And we agree with the position of the State. 16 17 client, as she detailed a little bit in her letter, has experienced a lot of emotional and some physical 18 19 abuse from this defendant in the past. And what I think worries her the most 20 are the statements that he has made and then followed 21

has experienced a lot of emotional and some physical abuse from this defendant in the past.

And what I think worries her the most are the statements that he has made and then follow through on about ruining her life. I can tell you the divorce was messy because he wanted it to be messy. He dragged it out as long as he could.

And he is simply not accepting and

22

23

24

1 not -- and not happy that she has ended the 2 relationship. And that's been a pattern of the 3 communications even over the past six months. I will 4 let you know that she has tried to say, "Stop 5 contacting me. Don't send me e-mails." You know, 6 "Let it go." 7 And that's been going on for months 8 before this report was even made. So there are some 9 serious concerns about whether or not he'd be able to 10 follow a no-contact order. My client has mental 11 health concerns for defendant. And I don't know if 12 defense attorney can speak to that. 13 THE COURT: Your client has concerns 14 about his mental health --15 MS. KEBLER: Yes. 16 THE COURT: -- right? Right. 17 MS. KEBLER: Yes. 18 THE COURT: That's what I thought. 19 MS. KEBLER: So -- so, Your Honor, we do 20 oppose release on the same, you know, basis the State 21 outlined. And if you are considering release, I 22 think my client would be much more comfortable if he is released to a third party, so not living on his 23 24 own, but living with a family member, mom. 25 Oregon City is far away from here.

Т	victim lives in this area as well. And that would be
2	someone that could actually say, "Yeah. He's not
3	using internet or social media while he's at home,"
4	if that's a condition that Your Honor imposes.
5	So I would encourage, if there is a
6	release today, that there be very, very strict
7	release conditions, just given his behavior in the
8	past and his inability to leave my client alone.
9	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
10	MS. ATWOOD: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Back to you, Mr. Taylor.
12	MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Judge. Brief
13	response that I guess will let him address most of
14	the concerns raised by the DA and counsel.
15	I have had what Judge Sims would refer
16	to as the woodshed talk with my client and explained
17	to him that, should the Court allow his release,
18	there's going to be conditions of no contact with
19	anyone involved and absolutely nothing on the
20	internet that could be construed anything of the type
21	or messing with evidence or anything of that nature.
22	And I've explained that there is, for
23	lack of a better word, a circle of things that that
24	encompasses, right? A circle of prohibitions. And
25	then much wider than that is there a circle of things

1 that might be construed in that light and that he 2 will do absolutely nothing to get even close to that 3 outer circle of things that could possibly ever raise 4 any concerns. 5 So what I guess I'm getting at is that 6 I've had a very serious talk with my client about 7 how, if released, there will be no contact of any 8 There will be no messing around on the 9 internet, nothing like that. And he has indicated 10 that he understands that. He accepts it. 11 All he wants to do is sleep in his own 12 bed and go to work. That's what we have for you, 13 Judge. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 Mr. Barber, I'm not going to do what your attorney's asked. You've got a good lawyer and 16 17 I hope you will continue to follow his advice. I 18 don't want you to misunderstand that this is some 19 flukey thing that, quote, "Just because you failed to 20 appear, now all of this is falling on your head." 21 Because let's back up and just say, 22 If you hadn't failed to appear and just on your own came back to court on the next court date, 23

you would have then been charged the remaining" -- I

think it's seven more counts; is that right, or eight

24

1 more counts? 2 MS. ATWOOD: Eight. 3 THE COURT: And so then you would have had to been booked on it. And then I would probably 4 have heard this same information from the victim. 5 6 And then I would I say what I'm going to say today, 7 is I'm going to raise the security. 8 I'm really concerned about you. This is 9 dangerous. It is weird. It is creepy. Here's the 10 thing is congratulations that you haven't physically 11 harmed her terribly, but the kind of harm you can do 12 to somebody by doing the stuff that you have done can 13 be lifelong lasting, putting these kind of images. 14 And we all know that you can't necessarily take stuff down, take stuff off even if 15 16 you appear to. It can be there somewhere and that 17 this isn't just that you know, you had a blowup or 18 you had an argument or you decided to get divorced and you did something; and, gosh, you feel bad 19 about it. 20 21 You've been doing this and doing this 22 and doing this. And you've been saying that this is the purpose that you had for it, that you were going 23 to ruin her life. 24

25

And the fact that you would do something

1 in addition to pictures, which is horrible, but that 2 you would also buy domain names in the name of your 3 former wife, sounds like to me a person that's planning to do some stuff in the future. 4 5 So I can't prohibit you from posting 6 bail, but I -- I would be very concerned about a 7 situation where -- and here's the thing, is even if you're not physically near her, it -- you're 8 9 obviously really good at computers. That's the kind 10 of work that you do. 11 You could probably do stuff right under 12 your mom's nose and she wouldn't even realize, grab a 13 phone or something. So I'm going to raise it 250,000 14 and you can post ten percent of that. 15 If you do post ten percent of that, even 16 then I would want you to be under a strict release 17 agreement and I would adopt if that happened all of 18 the conditions recommended by our Release Office. 19 And I believe that they're addressed also in the 20 State's motion. 21 And I know you've conceded those issues 22 if we get to that point in terms of you know, no -no contact, computers, et cetera. But I have no 23 24 doubt that your attorney, because I know him to be

very thorough, has had a gone-to-the-woodshed type of

1	talk with you about this.
2	But I just want you to hear it from me,
3	too. Whatever's going to happen with this case, if
4	you decide to have a trial, then you're presumed to
5	be innocent and that's just fine with me, but I've
6	got to make the decisions on what's in front of me
7	now and this is extremely concerning, okay?
8	So my rulings will so reflect and let me
9	sign this and then you can fill that out.
10	And, Ms. Larson, is there anything else
11	that I need to address between what you have
12	recommended and what the State's criteria is?
13	MS. LARSON: No.
14	THE COURT: Thank you very much. So
15	then that's all we're going to do.
16	Thank you, Mr. Taylor.
17	MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Judge.
18	THE COURT: And Ms. Atwood.
19	MS. ATWOOD: Thank you, Judge.
20	* * *
21	(Court adjourned, Volume 1, 8-9-16 at 2:00 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

Τ	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	I, Katie Bradford, Court Reporter of the
3	Circuit Court of the State of Oregon, Twentieth
4	Judicial District, certify that I transcribed in
5	stenotype from a digital audio recording the oral
6	proceedings had upon the hearing of the
7	above-entitled cause before the HONORABLE
8	SUZANNE M. UPTON, on August 9, 2016;
9	That I have subsequently caused my
10	stenotype notes, so taken, to be reduced to
11	computer-aided transcription under my direction; and
12	that the foregoing transcript, Volume 1 of 5, Pages 1
13	through 19, both inclusive, constitutes a full, true
14	and accurate record of said proceedings taken from a
15	digital audio recording and so reported by me in
16	stenotype as aforesaid.
17	Witness my hand and CSR Seal at
18	Portland, Oregon, this 11th day of January, 2017.
19	
20	
21	Tr. 1 D. 15 1 GGD 00 0140
22	Katie Bradford, CSR 90-0148 Court Reporter
23	CSR Expires: 9-30-17 (503) 267-5112
24	
25	